

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/940,050	08/27/2001	Reinhard Hamperl	GR 99 P 1289	4449
75	90 12/13/2002			
LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A. PATENT ATTORNEYS AND ATTORNEYS AT LAW Post Office Box 2480			EXAMINER	
			LUM, LEE S	
Hollywood, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3611	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)					
		09/940,050 HAMPERL ET AL.		LETAL.			
٠	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ms. Lee S. Lum	3611	l			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. TREPLY	pears on the cover	sheet with the correspond	ence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLINATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, hower y within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be consid IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	te of this communication. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 121	November 2002 .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fir	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) $1-12$ is/are pending in the application	١.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considera	ition.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirer	nent.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 -	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) 🔲 -	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) 🗌 objecte	d to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held	I in abeyance. See 37 CFR	1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	· •	on.				
12) 🗌 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) [a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recei	ved.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	ational Stage			
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a pro	visional application).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedures the company of the foreign language procedures the company of the compan	• •		1.			
Attachment	•	-	-				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) F Notice of Informal Patent Applica Other:				
U.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Rev		ction Summary		Part of Paper No. 12			

Application/Control Number: 09/940,050

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A Request for Reconsideration was filed 11/19/02.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darby et al 5835873 in view of Byon 5847472.

Re Claims 1-5, Darby discloses device 100 for sensing an object/person in a vehicle interior comprising

Sensor (col 11, lines 4-5) for object/person,

Impact sensor 340,

Non-volatile memory 360 (col 11, lines 35-36) storing sensor data,

Controller 200 for occupant protection means 410, and,

Main controller 310.

The patent does not disclose the memory as storing activation data from the protection means controller, while Byon shows this feature in col 6, line 64, to col 7, line2. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this feature as a part of the function of the memory, as shown in Byon, so that a chronological record may be made of the firing activities of the airbag for review for such purposes as effecting subsequent improvements.

Re Claim 6, Darby discloses the controller of the protection means as outputting a signal when the latter is triggered, as explained in col 11, lines 29-34, i.e., "memory 360 [stores]...data from...the safety device controllers 200".

Application/Control Number: 09/940,050

² Art Unit: 3611

Re Claims 7 and 8, the patent discloses the controller of the protection means as outputting a signal when impact exceeds a threshold value, as indicated in col 11, lines 37-49, i.e., "the ECU 310 comprises a means for controlling and interchanging data... with the... acceleration sensor 340, and with the memory 360, and for performing control algorithms...".

Re Claims 9-11, the patent discloses a method for sensing an object/person in a vehicle interior, the steps comprising the structure and means described above.

- 3. Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is pertinent to the applicant's disclosure: Fujishima et al 6293583, Hiramitsu et al 5178409, Naitou et al 4968965.

5. RESPONSE TO REMARKS

Examiner has provided new rejections using Darby and Byon, the latter teaching the memory element as storing firing activities of the airbag.

6. Communication with the Examiner and USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ms. Lum at (703) 305-0232, 9-530, M-F. Our fax numbers are (703) 872-9326, 872-9327 for after-final communications, and 308-2571 for faxes having prior notice given to the examiner. Any inquiry of a general nature, or relating to the status of this application/proceeding should be directed to Customer Assistance at (703) 306-5771.

Ms. Lee S. Lum, Examiner 12/12/02